1	THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	RAOUL MEILLEUR,		
10	Plaintiff,	NO. 2:11-cv-01025 MJP	
11	V.	ORDER PRELIMINARILY	
12	AT&T CORP., a New York corporation, and	APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING	
13	DOES 1 through 20,	SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR	
14	Defendants.	FINAL APPROVAL HEARING	
15			
16			
17			
18	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants have	ve reached a proposed settlement and	
19	compromise of the disputes between them and otl	her similarly situated individuals in the	
20	Action, which is set forth in the Settlement Agree	ement filed with the Court; and	
21	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants have applied to the Court for preliminary		
22	approval of the proposed Settlement, the terms an	nd conditions of which are set forth in the	
23	Settlement Agreement; and		
24	WHEREAS, AT&T contends the automa	ted outbound calling program that Mr.	
25	Meilleur received a call from complies fully with	the Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
26			
	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLAS APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FIN APPROVAL HEARING - 1 CASE NO. 2:11-cv-01025-MJP	SS;	

("TCPA") and the Washington Automated Dialing and Announcing Devices Act ("WADAD") because, among other reasons, the call was not a solicitation, and vigorously denies each of the claims asserted against it in the Action;

WHEREAS, the Court has fully considered the record of these proceedings, the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto, the representations, arguments and recommendation of counsel for the Parties, and the requirements of law; and

WHEREAS, it appears to the Court upon preliminary examination that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that a hearing should be held after notice to the Settlement Class of the proposed Settlement to finally determine whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether a Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered in this Action based upon the Settlement Agreement.

## THIS COURT FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The capitalized terms used in this Preliminary Approval Order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement except as may otherwise be ordered.
- 2. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement as fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class, as falling within the range of possible final approval, and as meriting notice of the Settlement to persons in the Settlement Class for their consideration and a full hearing on the approval of the Settlement.
- 3. The Settlement Agreement was entered into by experienced counsel and only after extensive arm's-length negotiations involving mediation before the Hon. Edward A. Infante, an experienced mediator who served as the Chief Magistrate Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California before his retirement from the federal bench. The Settlement Agreement is not the result of collusion.
- 4. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court conditionally certifies the Settlement Class, which consists of:

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 2 CASE No. 2:11-cv-01025-MJP

1		
2	All persons within the United States who between July 30, 2008	
3	and May 29, 2012 received a telephone call pursuant to the Calling Program who had not selected AT&T Corp. as their	
4	presubscribed long distance carrier at the time of the call, plus all California residents who received a call under the Calling	
5	Program and were on AT&T's internal do-not-call list at the time they received the call.	
6	Excluded from the Class are the following: (1) Any trial judge that may preside over this case;	
7	(2) Defendants, any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or control person of defendants, as well as the	
8	officers, directors, agents, servants or employees of defendants; and (3) the immediate family	
9	members of any such person(s).	
10	5. The Court preliminarily finds, solely for purposes of considering this Settlement	
11	that:	
12	a. The above-described Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all	
13	members is impracticable;	
14	b. There are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class,	
15	including whether the Calling Program constituted a solicitation;	
16	c. The claims of the Settlement Class Representative are typical of the	
17	claims of the Settlement Class;	
18	d. The Settlement Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect	
19	the interest of the Settlement Class;	
20	e. The questions of fact or law common to the members of the Settlement	
21	Class predominate over the questions affecting only individual members;	
22	and	
23	f. Certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available	
24	methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.	
25		
26		
	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL	

APPROVAL HEARING - 3 CASE No. 2:11-CV-01025-MJP

- 6. The Court finds that it has personal jurisdiction over all Settlement Class members, including the absent Settlement Class members.
- 7. The Named Plaintiff, Raoul Meilleur, shall be the Settlement Class
  Representative of the Settlement Class; this Court preliminarily finds that he will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the absent Settlement Class members.
- 8. The Court appoints the law firms of Terrell Marshall Daudt & Willie PLLC and Williamson & Williams as Settlement Class Counsel; this Court preliminarily finds that they are competent, capable of exercising all responsibilities as Class Counsel and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the absent Settlement Class members.
- 9. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court at 9:00 AM on March 8, 2013 to address (a) whether the proposed Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate and whether the Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered; and (b) whether Class Counsel's Fee and Cost Application and Incentive Award for Named Plaintiff should be approved. Papers in support of final approval of the Settlement, the incentive award to Named Plaintiff, and Class Counsel's Fee and Cost Application shall be filed with the Court according to the schedule set forth in Paragraph 18 below. The Final Approval Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, or continued by order of the Court without further notice to the Settlement Class. After the Final Approval Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Approval Order and Judgment in accordance with the Settlement Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of all Settlement Class members with respect to the Released Claims being settled.
- 10. The Court approves, as to form and content, the use of the Claim Form and written Notice substantially similar to the forms attached as Exhibits A and D to the Settlement Agreement, respectively. Written Notice will be provided to members of the Settlement Class by first-class U.S. mail using AT&T's records of telephone numbers it called or attempted to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

call under the Calling Program and a reverse look-up process, updated by the Claims

Administrator in the normal course of business, and returned mail shall be run through a skip

tracing process. A postage-prepaid postcard Claim Form shall be included with the Notice. All

Notices shall be mailed within 90 days of the date of entry of this Preliminary Approval Order.

No later than five business days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement

Administrator and AT&T shall file with the Court declarations attesting to compliance with this

Order.

- 11. The costs of Notice shall be paid by AT&T, as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Notice, as directed in this Order, constitutes the best notice practicable under the unique circumstances of this case and is reasonably calculated to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of this Action and their right to object to or exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that the Class Notice program is reasonable, that it constitutes due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice and that it meets the requirements of due process and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 13. Any member of the Settlement Class who desires to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and therefore not be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, must submit to the Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the instructions and requirements set forth in the Notice, a timely and valid written request for exclusion postmarked no later than 45 days after the completion of the Notice period.
- 14. Each request for exclusion must be personally signed by the individual Settlement Class member; any so-called "mass" or "class" opt-outs shall not be allowed. No person shall purport to exercise any exclusion rights of any other Person, or purport to exclude any other Settlement Class member as a group, aggregate or class involving more than one

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 5 CASE No. 2:11-cv-01025-MJP Settlement Class member, or as an agent or representative. Any such purported exclusion shall be void and the Person(s) that is/are the subject of the purported opt-out shall be treated as a member of the Settlement Class and be bound by the Settlement.

- 15. Any member of the Settlement Class who elects to be excluded shall not be entitled to receive any of the benefits of the Settlement, shall not be bound by the release of any claims pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and shall not be entitled to object to the Settlement or appear at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 16. Any Settlement Class member who does not submit a valid and timely request for exclusion may object to the proposed Settlement, or to Settlement Class Counsel's Fee and Cost Application. Any such Settlement Class member shall have the right to appear and be heard at the Final Approval Hearing, either personally or through an attorney retained at the Settlement Class member's own expense. Any such Settlement Class member must file with the Court and serve on counsel for AT&T and Settlement Class Counsel a written notice of intention to appear together with supporting papers including a detailed statement of the specific objections made no later than 45 days after the conclusion of the Notice period. Any Settlement Class member that fails to do so shall be foreclosed from making such objection or opposition, by appeal, collateral attack or otherwise.
- 17. Stay/Bar of Other Proceedings. All proceedings in this Action are stayed until further order of the Court, except as may be necessary to implement the terms of the Settlement. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Plaintiffs, all persons in the Settlement Class and all persons purporting to act on their behalf are enjoined from commencing or prosecuting (either directly, representatively or in any other capacity) any released claim against any of the released parties in any action, arbitration or proceeding in any court, arbitration forum or tribunal.

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 6 CASE No. 2:11-cv-01025-MJP

18. Schedule of Future Events. Further settlement proceedings in this matter shall proceed according to the following schedule:

EVENT	SCHEDULED DATE
Notice deadline	90 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order
Fee and Cost Application Due	30 days prior to the deadline to opt out or object to the Settlement
Parties' briefs in support of the Settlement due	30 days prior to the deadline to opt out or object to the Settlement
Last day for Settlement Class members to opt-out of the Settlement	45 days after completion of the Notice period
Last day for objections to the Settlement to be filed with the Court	45 days after completion of the Notice period
Parties file responses to objections, if any	No later than 15 days after the deadline for objections to the Settlement.
Final Approval Hearing	No earlier than 7 days after the submission of the Parties' responses to any objections.
Last day to submit a valid Claim Form	60 days after entry of the Final Approval Order
Payment of Incentive Award	20 days after later of Effective Date or when the order on the Fee and Cost Application is final and non-appealable
Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	20 days after later of Effective Date or when the order on the Fee and Cost Application is final and non-appealable
Payment to Settlement Class members	Reasonably promptly after the later of the Effective Date or the closure of the claim period.

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 7 CASE No. 2:11-cv-01025-MJP

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT; CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 8 CASE No. 2:11-cv-01025-MJP

1	Presented by:
2	TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC
3	By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759
4	Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Email: bterrell@tmdwlaw.com
5	Kimberlee L. Gunning, WSBA #35366
6	Email: kgunning@tmdwlaw.com 936 North 34th Street, Suite 400
7	Seattle, Washington 98103 Telephone: 206.816.6603
8	Facsimile: 206.350.3528
9	Rob Williamson, WSBA #11387
10	Email: roblin@williamslaw.com Kim Williams, WSBA #9077
11	Email: kim@williamslaw.com WILLIAMSON & WILLIAMS
12	17253 Agate Street NE
13	Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Telephone: 206.780.4447
14	Facsimile: 206.780.5557
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Classes and Subclass
16	KIPLING LAW GROUP PLLC
17	By: /s/ Michael E. Kipling, WSBA #7677
18	Michael E. Kipling, WSBA #7677 E-mail: kipling@kiplinglawgroup.com
19	Robert D. Stewart, WSBA #8998
20	E-mail: stewart@kiplinglawgroup.com 3601 Fremont Avenue North, Suite 414
21	Seattle, Washington 98103 Telephone: 206.545.0345
22	Facsimile: 206.545.0350
	Theodore A. Livingston, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
23	Email: tlivingston@mayerbrown.com John E. Muench, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
24	Email: jmuench@mayerbrown.com Hans J. Germann, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>
25	hgermann@mayerbrown.com
26	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT;
	CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 9 CASE NO. 2:11 GV 01025 MIR

1	Jeffrey M. Strauss, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i> Email: jstrauss@mayerbrown.com
2	MAYER BROWN LLP
3	71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606
4	Telephone: 312.782.0600
5	Facsimile: 312.701.7711
	Geoffrey Amsel, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i> E-mail: ga4146@att.com
6	AT&T SERVICES, INC.
7	208 South Akard, Room 3116 Dallas, Texas 75202
8	Telephone: 214.757.3478
9	Facsimile: 214.761.8235
10	Attorneys for Defendants
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25 26	
20	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT;
	CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPROVING NOTICE; AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING - 10 CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01025-MIP